

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/828,217	HIRANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) ILIA OUSPENSKI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) THOMAS CUNNINGHAM.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1/3/2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's amendment to the claims as set forth in the accompanying Office Action.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ilia Ouspenski  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)